Economics Note
Vol. 2 No. 2, April 2026, pages: 74-82
e-ISSN 3110-2514 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.70716/econote.v2i2.256

The Impact of Digital Transformation on Labor Productivity in the Service Sector

Zulhan Awaludin Zaini[1*], Chengzhi Qiao Xie[2]
[1] Department of Economics, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia
[2] School of Economics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China
**Corresponding Author: zaini.awaludin @unram.ac.id*

Article History

Manuscript submitted:
03 April 2026
Manuscript revised:
25 April 2026
Accepted for publication:
28 April 2026

Keywords

digital transformation; labor productivity; service sector; technology adoption; workforce structure

Abstract

Digital transformation has reshaped the service sector by integrating advanced technologies into business processes. This study aims to analyze the impact of digital transformation on labor productivity in the service sector across different economic contexts. The research applies a qualitative systematic literature review approach using recent empirical studies published between 2021 and 2025. The findings indicate that digital transformation significantly improves labor productivity through process automation, data-driven decision making, and enhanced communication efficiency. Studies show that productivity gains are more pronounced in high-income economies due to better technological infrastructure and human capital readiness. However, challenges such as digital inequality, workforce skill gaps, and organizational adaptation remain critical barriers. The study also finds that digital transformation shifts labor demand toward high-skilled workers, thereby influencing employment structures. This research contributes to the literature by synthesizing cross-country evidence and highlighting the mechanisms linking digital transformation and productivity. The findings provide practical implications for policymakers and firms to design strategies that maximize productivity gains while addressing structural challenges in the service sector.

Copyright © 2026, The Author(s)
This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license

How to Cite: Zaini, Z. A., & Xie, C. Q., (2026). The Impact of Digital Transformation on Labor Productivity in the Service Sector. *Economics Note, 2*(2), 74-82. https://doi.org/10.70716/econote.v2i2.256

Introduction

Digital transformation has become a central driver of structural change in the global economy, particularly in the service sector. The rapid adoption of digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and cloud computing has reshaped how firms operate and deliver value. These technologies enable organizations to streamline processes, reduce operational inefficiencies, and improve service quality. Empirical evidence shows that digital transformation enhances labor productivity by accelerating information processing and optimizing resource allocation (Chingvintseva & Raevich, 2025). As the service sector increasingly dominates economic activity in both developed and developing countries, understanding the productivity implications of digital transformation becomes critical.

The service sector is inherently labor-intensive and relies heavily on human capital. Unlike manufacturing, productivity improvements in services depend more on intangible factors such as

knowledge, communication, and service innovation. Digital transformation plays a key role in enhancing these factors by enabling real-time data access, improving coordination, and supporting decision-making processes. Studies indicate that digital technologies reduce manual tasks and increase efficiency, leading to measurable productivity gains (Rizqi, 2024). Furthermore, digital tools enhance service customization and responsiveness, which contribute to higher customer satisfaction and organizational performance.

However, the impact of digital transformation on labor productivity is not uniform across regions and economic contexts. Wagan and Sidra (2025) demonstrate that the positive effects of digitalization are more pronounced in high-income countries, where infrastructure and technological readiness are well established. In contrast, developing economies face structural constraints, including limited digital infrastructure, lower levels of human capital, and restricted access to advanced technologies. These disparities result in uneven productivity outcomes and highlight the importance of contextual factors in shaping the effectiveness of digital transformation.

At the firm level, the adoption of digital technologies has been identified as a critical determinant of productivity growth. Aralica et al. (2025) show that sectoral technological transformation significantly improves firm-level productivity by enhancing operational efficiency and enabling innovation. Similarly, Zhou et al. (2025) argue that digital transformation improves productivity through business process reengineering, which allows firms to redesign workflows and eliminate inefficiencies. These findings suggest that the benefits of digital transformation depend not only on technology adoption but also on the ability of organizations to integrate these technologies into their operational systems.

In addition to improving efficiency, digital transformation also alters the structure of labor demand. The integration of digital technologies increases the need for skilled workers who can manage and operate complex systems. Feng et al. (2024) find that digital transformation leads to labor force upgrading by increasing demand for high-skilled workers while reducing reliance on routine labor. This shift has significant implications for labor markets, particularly in developing countries where skill gaps remain a major challenge. Qiao et al. (2025) further show that digital transformation reshapes employment structures by expanding opportunities in technical and knowledge-intensive roles.

Despite its potential benefits, digital transformation also presents several challenges that may limit its impact on labor productivity. One major issue is digital inequality, which refers to unequal access to technology and digital resources. Zadorozhna and Pitalov (2024) emphasize that firms with limited access to digital infrastructure are less able to benefit from technological advancements. This creates a productivity gap between technologically advanced firms and those that lag behind. Moreover, organizational resistance to change and insufficient training programs can hinder the effective implementation of digital technologies.

Another important challenge relates to the dynamics of labor market transformation. Digital transformation not only increases productivity but also disrupts traditional employment patterns. Makurin and Kozarevych (2025) highlight that automation reduces the demand for routine tasks while increasing the importance of cognitive and analytical skills. This shift creates a polarization effect in the labor market, where high-skilled workers benefit more than low-skilled workers. Zhang (2025) also notes that the digital economy drives structural changes in employment by increasing demand for skilled labor and reducing opportunities for medium-skilled workers.

Several studies have examined the mechanisms through which digital transformation affects productivity. Liu et al. (2023) find that digital transformation improves labor investment efficiency by enabling better allocation of resources across different stages of the business lifecycle. Trofimova (2025) emphasizes the importance of technological integration and system quality in enhancing productivity outcomes. Meanwhile, Tsygankova et al. (2025) show that digital services improve communication and collaboration, which are essential for productivity in service-oriented activities. These findings indicate

that digital transformation operates through multiple channels, including technological, organizational, and human capital factors.

Although the existing literature provides valuable insights, it remains fragmented and often limited to specific countries, industries, or firm-level analyses. There is a lack of comprehensive synthesis that integrates findings across different contexts to provide a holistic understanding of the relationship between digital transformation and labor productivity in the service sector. This limitation reduces the ability of policymakers and practitioners to formulate effective strategies that address both opportunities and challenges associated with digital transformation.

This study addresses this gap by conducting a systematic analysis of recent empirical research on digital transformation and labor productivity in the service sector. The study aims to identify key drivers, mechanisms, and challenges associated with digital transformation. It also seeks to provide a comparative perspective across different economic contexts, highlighting factors that influence productivity outcomes. By synthesizing findings from multiple studies, this research contributes to the development of a more comprehensive framework for understanding the impact of digital transformation on labor productivity.

The contribution of this study is both theoretical and practical. From a theoretical perspective, the study integrates existing findings into a coherent framework that explains how digital transformation influences labor productivity through technological adoption, human capital development, and organizational change. From a practical perspective, the findings provide insights for policymakers and business leaders on how to design strategies that maximize productivity gains while addressing structural challenges. This includes investments in digital infrastructure, workforce training, and institutional support to facilitate the adoption of digital technologies.

In conclusion, digital transformation represents a critical opportunity for improving labor productivity in the service sector. However, its impact depends on a range of factors, including technological readiness, human capital, and organizational capacity. A comprehensive understanding of these factors is essential for ensuring that digital transformation contributes to sustainable economic growth and inclusive development. This study provides a systematic and evidence-based analysis to support this objective.

Methods

Research Design

This study applies a qualitative research design using a systematic literature review approach. The design focuses on synthesizing empirical evidence related to the impact of digital transformation on labor productivity in the service sector. A systematic approach ensures that the review process is transparent, structured, and replicable. The study adopts a descriptive and analytical orientation to identify patterns, relationships, and key mechanisms reported in prior research. This design is appropriate because the study aims to integrate findings from multiple empirical studies rather than generate primary data.

Data Sources and Selection Criteria

The data consist of secondary sources derived from peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, book chapters, and indexed academic publications. All references were selected from reputable databases and are limited to the studies listed in the reference section of this article. The selection process follows strict inclusion criteria to maintain consistency and relevance.

The inclusion criteria are as follows:

1. Articles published between 2021 and 2025 to ensure up-to-date evidence.
2. Studies that explicitly examine digital transformation, digitalization, or technological adoption.

3. Research that discusses labor productivity, employment structure, or efficiency in the service sector or related industries.
4. Empirical or conceptual studies with clear methodological frameworks.

Studies that do not directly relate to productivity or lack methodological clarity were excluded. However, several supporting studies on labor structure and digital economy dynamics were retained to strengthen the analytical framework, as they provide indirect evidence relevant to productivity outcomes (Qiao et al., 2025; Zhang, 2025).

Data Collection Procedure

The data collection process follows four systematic stages: identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and final inclusion. In the identification stage, all relevant references were compiled based on the predefined list. During screening, duplicate entries and irrelevant titles were removed. The eligibility stage involved reviewing abstracts and full texts to ensure alignment with the research objectives. Finally, selected studies were included for in-depth analysis.

Each selected article was coded based on key attributes, including author, year, research focus, methodology, and main findings. This coding process allows for structured comparison across studies. The procedure ensures that all included sources contribute directly to answering the research question.

Data Analysis Technique

The study uses thematic analysis to examine the relationship between digital transformation and labor productivity. The analysis focuses on identifying recurring themes and patterns across the selected studies. Key themes include technological adoption, process efficiency, labor productivity, workforce structure, and organizational performance.

The analysis is conducted in three stages. First, data reduction is performed by extracting relevant findings from each study. Second, data categorization is carried out by grouping findings into thematic clusters. Third, interpretation is conducted by comparing and synthesizing results across studies. This approach enables the identification of consistent patterns and contrasting findings.

A comparative analysis is also applied to examine differences across economic contexts. Studies are grouped based on their geographical focus and level of economic development. This allows the study to assess how contextual factors such as infrastructure and human capital influence productivity outcomes (Wagan & Sidra, 2025).

Validity and Reliability

To ensure validity, the study uses only peer-reviewed and credible academic sources. The inclusion of multiple studies from different contexts strengthens the robustness of the findings. Triangulation is applied by comparing results from various sources to confirm consistency. The use of recent publications enhances the relevance and timeliness of the analysis.

Reliability is maintained through a transparent and replicable review process. All steps, from data selection to analysis, follow a structured procedure. The use of clear inclusion criteria and systematic coding reduces bias and ensures consistency in data interpretation.

Research Limitations

This study relies on secondary data, which limits direct control over data quality and measurement consistency. The findings depend on the scope and methodology of the selected studies. In addition, variations in definitions and indicators of digital transformation and productivity across studies may affect comparability. However, these limitations are addressed through careful selection, systematic analysis, and cross-study comparison.

Ethical Considerations

All data used in this study are obtained from publicly available academic sources. Proper citation and referencing are applied to acknowledge original authors. The study does not involve human subjects or primary data collection, thus it does not require ethical approval.

Results and Discussion

Empirical Synthesis of Digital Transformation and Labor Productivity

The analysis of selected studies confirms that digital transformation has a consistent positive impact on labor productivity in the service sector. Most empirical findings highlight that the adoption of digital technologies improves efficiency, reduces operational costs, and enhances service delivery. Rizqi (2024) shows that digitalization accelerates service processes and reduces manual workloads, leading to measurable productivity gains. Similarly, Tsygankova et al. (2025) find that digital services improve communication and coordination, which are critical for labor-intensive service activities.

To provide a structured synthesis, Table 1 summarizes key empirical findings from selected studies.

Table 1. Summary of Empirical Findings on Digital Transformation and Labor Productivity

Author(s)	Year	Focus Area	Key Findings
Wagan & Sidra	2025	Cross-country analysis	Digital transformation increases productivity, stronger in high-income countries
Aralica et al.	2025	Firm-level productivity	Technological adoption improves operational efficiency and productivity
Zhou et al.	2025	Business process	Process reengineering enhances productivity through digital tools
Rizqi	2024	Service industry	Digitalization improves efficiency and service quality
Tsygankova et al.	2025	Digital services	Improves communication and reduces task completion time
Feng et al.	2024	Labor structure	Increases demand for skilled labor
Liu et al.	2023	Investment efficiency	Enhances allocation of labor resources
Zadorozhna & Pitalov	2024	Digital economy	Productivity depends on access to technology

The table shows that productivity improvement is not driven by a single factor but by a combination of technological, organizational, and human resource elements. This confirms that digital transformation operates as a multidimensional process.

Mechanisms Linking Digital Transformation and Productivity

The findings reveal three main mechanisms through which digital transformation improves labor productivity. First, process automation reduces repetitive tasks and increases efficiency. Chingvintseva and Raevich (2025) emphasize that digital technologies accelerate information processing, allowing firms to operate more efficiently. Second, data-driven decision making improves strategic and operational outcomes. Zhou et al. (2025) highlight that digital tools enable firms to optimize workflows and reduce inefficiencies. Third, improved communication enhances coordination among workers, which is essential in service-based activities (Tsygankova et al., 2025).

Role of Human Capital and Technological Infrastructure

The results indicate that the effectiveness of digital transformation depends heavily on human capital and infrastructure. Wagan and Sidra (2025) find that productivity gains are significantly higher in

countries with strong digital infrastructure. This is supported by Song et al. (2022), who demonstrate that human capital enhances the impact of digitalization by enabling better use of technology.

Firms with skilled workers are more capable of adopting advanced technologies and integrating them into their operations. In contrast, firms with limited skills face difficulties in implementing digital transformation effectively. This creates a gap in productivity outcomes across regions and organizations. Trofimova (2025) further emphasizes that system integration and technological quality are critical factors that determine the success of digital transformation.

Impact on Labor Structure and Employment Dynamics

Digital transformation also leads to structural changes in the labor market. The findings show a clear shift toward high-skilled labor. Feng et al. (2024) report that digital transformation increases demand for workers with technical and analytical skills. Qiao et al. (2025) confirm that employment structures are shifting toward knowledge-intensive roles.

At the same time, automation reduces the need for routine and low-skilled tasks. Makurin and Kozarevych (2025) explain that this transition creates a polarization effect, where high-skilled workers benefit more than low-skilled workers. Zhang (2025) also highlights that the digital economy drives labor market restructuring by reducing middle-skill jobs and increasing demand for specialized skills.

These changes have important implications for workforce development. Firms and policymakers must invest in education and training programs to ensure that workers can adapt to new technological environments. Without such investments, digital transformation may increase inequality and limit productivity gains.

Challenges and Constraints in Digital Transformation

Despite its benefits, digital transformation faces several constraints that limit its impact on labor productivity. One major challenge is digital inequality. Zadorozhna and Pitalov (2024) show that limited access to digital infrastructure reduces the ability of firms to adopt new technologies. This issue is particularly relevant in developing countries.

Another challenge is organizational resistance to change. Many firms lack the capacity to implement digital transformation effectively due to limited financial resources and insufficient training. Chingvintseva and Raevich (2025) highlight that workforce adaptation is a critical factor that determines the success of digital initiatives.

In addition, differences in regulatory environments and institutional support can influence the adoption of digital technologies. Liu et al. (2023) emphasize that firms require supportive policies and investment conditions to fully realize the benefits of digital transformation. Without these conditions, productivity improvements remain limited.

Discussion and Theoretical Implications

The findings of this study confirm that digital transformation significantly enhances labor productivity in the service sector through multiple mechanisms. The results align with existing theories of technological change, which emphasize the role of innovation in improving efficiency and economic performance. Digital transformation acts as a catalyst that integrates technology, human capital, and organizational processes.

However, the study also highlights that productivity gains are conditional on several factors, including infrastructure, skills, and institutional support. This suggests that digital transformation should be viewed as a systemic process rather than a purely technological change. The interaction between technology and human capital is particularly important in determining outcomes.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes by providing a comprehensive synthesis of empirical findings across different contexts. It highlights the need for an integrated framework that

considers technological, organizational, and labor market dimensions. From a practical perspective, the results provide guidance for policymakers and firms to design strategies that maximize the benefits of digital transformation while addressing its challenges.

Overall, digital transformation represents a significant opportunity to improve labor productivity, but its success depends on coordinated efforts across multiple dimensions.

Discussion

The findings confirm that inflation has a direct and measurable impact on purchasing power. The reduction in real income is the primary transmission channel. Cojocaru and Popovici (2025) show that rising prices reduce the ability to purchase basic goods. This aligns with OECD (2022), which quantifies the decline in income levels across countries.

The study also identifies consumption adjustment as a key response mechanism. Households prioritize essential goods such as food and housing. Jayaramanavar and Malagi (2025) explain that this behavior reflects rational decision-making under economic pressure. However, this adjustment reduces overall welfare because it limits access to non-essential but important services.

Another important finding is the unequal impact of inflation. Low-income households experience stronger effects due to structural constraints. Schulz and Ipsen (2025) highlight that these households spend a larger share of income on inflation-sensitive goods. Sipiczki and Varga (2023) further show that perceived inflation is higher among these groups, which intensifies economic pressure.

The results also reveal long-term consequences. Valdera (2024) and Etang et al. (2022) demonstrate that reduced spending on health and education affects human capital development. This creates a cycle where short-term coping strategies lead to long-term welfare losses.

Overall, the findings are consistent across different regions and economic systems. Inflation reduces purchasing power, alters consumption behavior, and increases inequality. The evidence supports the need for targeted policy interventions that address both income stability and price control.

Conclusion

This study concludes that digital transformation plays a significant role in enhancing labor productivity in the service sector. The synthesis of empirical findings shows that the adoption of digital technologies improves efficiency through process automation, faster information processing, and more effective decision making. These improvements enable firms to optimize operations and deliver higher-quality services. However, the magnitude of productivity gains varies across regions and firms, depending on the level of technological readiness, human capital, and organizational capacity.

The findings also indicate that digital transformation reshapes labor structures by increasing demand for high-skilled workers while reducing reliance on routine labor. This shift creates both opportunities and challenges. On one hand, firms benefit from improved performance and innovation capacity. On the other hand, labor market polarization may increase if workforce adaptation does not keep pace with technological change. Therefore, investment in education and skill development becomes essential to support sustainable productivity growth.

In addition, the study identifies several constraints that limit the effectiveness of digital transformation, including digital inequality, limited infrastructure, and organizational resistance. These barriers reduce the ability of firms, particularly in developing economies, to fully benefit from digital technologies. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated efforts between policymakers and industry stakeholders.

This study contributes to the literature by providing a comprehensive synthesis of recent empirical evidence and identifying key mechanisms linking digital transformation and labor productivity. The

findings offer practical implications for policy design and strategic decision making. Future research should focus on sector-specific empirical analysis and the development of measurable indicators to assess the long-term impact of digital transformation on productivity and employment dynamics.

References

Aralica, Z., Škrinjarić, B., & Roud, V. (2025). How sectoral technological transformation explains firm-level productivity? *Post-Communist Economies*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2025.2497584

Chen, C. B., Wang, S., & Yao, S. (2023). Does digital transformation increase the labor income share? From a perspective of resources reallocation. *Economic Modelling, 124*, 106474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2023.106474

Chingvintseva, I. R., & Raevich, K. V. (2025). Digital transformation of the economy: The impact of information technology on labor productivity. *Ekonomika i upravlenie: problemy, resheniya, 10*(6), 24–33. https://doi.org/10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2025.10.06.024

Dinh, T. T. H., & Tang, A. (2025). Digital transformation and the labor market: A bibliometric analysis using the Scopus database (2020–2025). *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management, 13*(8), e13. https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsrm/v13i08.em13

Feng, B., Zhao, S., & Zhao, Z. (2024). Digital transformation of enterprises and labor force structure upgrading: Research progress and prospect. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management, 28*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.54097/c92h3a51

Khuzagaripov, A. (2021). An innovative market mechanism for the digital transformation of services in the labor market. *E3S Web of Conferences, 274*, 10014. https://doi.org/10.1051/E3SCONF/202127410014

Li, D. (2025). Sales employment in the digitalization era: Empirical evidence from listed companies. *Journal of Business and Marketing, 2025*(9), 113–120. https://doi.org/10.62517/jbm.202509113

Liu, S., Wu, Y., Yin, X., & Liu, X. (2023). Digital transformation and labour investment efficiency: Heterogeneity across the enterprise life cycle. *Finance Research Letters, 54*, 104537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.104537

Lv, K., Zhang, X., Zhu, S., & Li, Y. (2024). Enterprise digital transformation and labor structure evolution: Evidence from China. *Chinese Management Studies, 18*(4), 900–920. https://doi.org/10.1108/cms-09-2023-0485

Makurin, A., & Kozarevych, S. (2025). Digital transformation of employment and its impact on the functioning of labor markets. *Naukovyi Visnyk Mizhnarodnoho Humanitarnoho Universytetu, 64*, 10–15. https://doi.org/10.32782/2413-2675/2025-64-10

Molchanova, S. M. (2023). Digital transformation directions of the service sector. *Ekonomika i upravlenie: problemy, resheniya, 6*(4), 22–28. https://doi.org/10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2023.06.04.022

Phan, K. D. (2025). Digital economy and labor market dynamics: A comparative analysis of employment trends in Vietnam and Thailand. *Tap Chi Khoa Hoc Dai Hoc Da Lat, 16*(1), 1446–1460. https://doi.org/10.37569/dalatuniversity.16.1.1446(2026)

Qiao, C., Chen, S., & Qiao, C. (2025). The impact of digital transformation on the employment structure in middle-income countries. *Cogent Economics & Finance, 13*(1), 2571864. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2025.2571864

Rizqi, M. D. M. (2024). Systematic literature review: Analisis dampak digitalisasi dalam industri jasa terhadap efisiensi dan layanan pelanggan. *Jurnal Economina, 3*(10), 1449–1458. https://doi.org/10.55681/economina.v3i10.1449

Rizwanbasha, A., Sivasakthi, P., & Appa, M. A. Y. P. (2025). Technological evolution and digital transformation in the services sector. In *Advances in hospitality, tourism and the services industry* (pp. 75–92). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7447-4.ch005

Song, M., Tao, W., & Shen, Z. (2022). The impact of digitalization on labor productivity evolution: Evidence from China. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology, 13*(3), 500–518. https://doi.org/10.1108/jhtt-03-2022-0075

Trofimova, N. N. (2025). The role of technological factors in realizing the productive potential of digital transformation of an enterprise. *Ekonomika i upravlenie: problemy, resheniya, 10*(7), 24–30. https://doi.org/10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2025.10.07.024

Tsygankova, I., Reznikova, O., & Tsohla, S. (2025). The impact of digital services on the sphere of labour and employment. *Vestnik Yuzhno-Ural State University, 25*(3), 10–18. https://doi.org/10.14529/em250310

Wagan, S. M., & Sidra, S. (2025). Digital technology's impact on service sector productivity and modernization. *Regionology, 33*(3), 386–405. https://doi.org/10.15507/2413-1407.129.033.202503.386-405

Zadorozhna, O. G., & Pitalov, M. M. (2024). Digitalization of the economy and its impact on labor productivity. *Business Inform, 11*, 90–95. https://doi.org/10.32983/2222-4459-2024-11-90-95

Zegrean, A., Girlovan, A., & Boțoroga, C.-A. (2025). The role of digital transformation in shaping labor productivity across EU member states. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence* (pp. 376–385). https://doi.org/10.2478/picbe-2025-0376

Zhang, X. (2023). How digital transformation of enterprises can improve labor productivity: Evidence from Chinese-listed companies. In *Proceedings of the International Conference* (pp. 45–58). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-222-4_5

Zhang, Y. (2025). The impact of digital economy development on labor employment transformation. *Finance & Economics, 490*, 85–92. https://doi.org/10.61173/490thf85

Zhou, Y., Lyu, J.-Y., & Li, L. (2025). Can digital transformation enhance labor productivity in enterprises: An analysis from the perspective of business process reengineering. *PLOS ONE, 20*(6), e0325484. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325484